arXiv:math/9805054v1 [math.AG] 12 May 1998

ON BLOWUP FORMULAE FOR THE S-DUALITY CONJECTURE OF VAFA AND WITTEN

Wei-ping Li[1] and Zhenbo Qin[2]

1. Introduction

In [V-W], Vafa and Witten formulated some mathematical predictions about the Euler characteristics of instanton moduli spaces derived from the S-duality conjecture in physics (details will be given in section 3). From these mathematical predictions, a blowup formula was proposed based upon the work of Yoshioka [Yos]. Roughly speaking, the blowup formula says that there exists a universal relation between the Euler characteristics of instanton moduli spaces for a smooth four manifold and the Euler characteristics of instanton moduli spaces for the blowup of the smooth four manifold. The universal relation is independent of the four manifold and related to some modular forms. This blowup formula of Vafa and Witten is different from the "conventional" blowup formula (see [F-S]) in gauge theory which usually means a relation between the Donaldson invariants of a smooth four manifold and the Donaldson invariants of the blowup of the smooth four manifold.

In this paper, using the virtual Hodge polynomials introduced in [D-K], we shall verify the blowup formula of Vafa and Witten for the gauge group $SU(2)$ and its dual group $SO(3)$ when the underlying four manifold is an algebraic surface. Let $\phi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the blowing-up of an algebraic surface X at a point $x_0 \in X$, and E be the exceptional divisor. For simplicity, we always assume that X is simply connected. Fix a divisor c_1 on X, $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ with $a = 0$ or 1, and an ample divisor H on X with odd $(H \cdot c_1)$. For an integer n, let $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ be the moduli space of Mumford-Takemoto H-stable rank-2 bundles with Chern classes c_1 and n, $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ be the moduli space of Gieseker H-semistable rank-2 torsion-free sheaves with Chern classes c_1 and n, and $\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n)$ be the Uhlenbeck compactification of $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ from gauge theory [Uhl, Do1, LiJ]. For $r \gg 0$, the divisors $H_r = r \cdot \phi^* H - E$ on $\widetilde{X}$ are ample; moreover, all the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n)$, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) can be naturally identified. So we shall use the notation $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n)$, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) to denote the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n)$, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) with $r \gg 0$.

For a complex variety Y (not necessarily smooth, projective, or irreducible), let $e(Y; x, y)$ be the virtual Hodge polynomial of Y introduced in [D-K]. For a complex scheme Y, we define $e(Y; x, y) = e(Y_{\text{red}}; x, y)$. It is known (see [Ful]) that when the complex variety Y (carrying the Zariski topology) is projective, $e(Y; 1, 1)$ is the topological Euler characteristic $\chi(Y)$ of Y regarded as a complex space (carrying

---

[1] Partially supported by the grant HKUST631/95P

[2] Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9622564 and an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

the usual topology). Thus for a complex scheme Y, $e(Y;1,1)$ (which is $e(Y_{\rm red};1,1)$ by our definition) is equal to the topological Euler characteristic $\chi(Y_{\rm red})$.

It is well-known that both the Gieseker moduli spaces and the Uhlenbeck compactification spaces are complex projective schemes (in fact, the Uhlenbeck compactification spaces are complex varieties by J. Li's definition [LiJ]). Our first main result in this paper is the following blowup formula for the Gieseker moduli spaces.

Theorem A. *Let $(H \cdot c_1)$ be odd and $\tilde c_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ with $a = 0$ or 1. Then*

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{\tilde c_1^2}{4}} = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot \tilde{\tilde{Z}}_a) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}}$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_a = \tilde{\tilde{Z}}_a(x, y, q)$ is a universal function of x, y, q, a with

$$\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_a(1,1,q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n+\frac{a}{2})^2}}{[q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \ge 1}(1-q^n)]^2}.$$

In particular, setting $x = y = 1$ yields the blowup formula

$$\sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde c_1, n)_{\rm red}) q^{n - \frac{\tilde c_1^2}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n+\frac{a}{2})^2}}{\prod_{n \ge 1}(1-q^n)^2} \cdot \sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)_{\rm red}) q^{n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}}.$$

The universal function $\tilde{\tilde{Z}}_a(1,1,q)$ was conjectured by Vafa and Witten [V-W]. The exponents of q are written in the forms of $n - \frac{\tilde c_1^2}{4}$ and $n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}$ which stand for the instanton numbers. We remark that over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$, Yoshioka [Yos] proved a blowup formula for the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points in the Gieseker moduli spaces over any algebraic surface. Using the Weil Conjecture and the facts that the Gieseker moduli spaces over $\mathbb{P}^2$ are projective and smooth and that the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points in these moduli spaces is a polynomial in q, Yoshioka proved the blowup formula for the Gieseker moduli spaces when $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $x = y$ (i.e. a blowup formula for the Poincare polynomials of the Gieseker moduli spaces over $\mathbb{P}^2$). Since the Gieseker moduli spaces are singular for general algebraic surfaces, the Weil Conjecture can not be applied to general algebraic surfaces. So Yoshioka's blowup formula over finite fields can not be carried to the complex field. However, Yoshioka's results and methods provided us with valuable guidances.

For the Uhlenbeck compactifications, we have the following blowup formula.

Theorem B. *Let $(H \cdot c_1)$ be odd and $\tilde c_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ with $a = 0$ or 1. Assume that $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde c_1, n)$) is dense in the Gieseker moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ (respectively, in $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde c_1, n)$) for all n. Then*

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^U_{H_\infty}(\tilde c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{\tilde c_1^2}{4}} = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot \tilde{Z}_a) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^U_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}}$$

where $\tilde{Z}_a = \tilde{Z}_a(x, y, q)$ is a universal function of x, y, q, a with

$$\tilde{Z}_a(1,1,q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n+\frac{a}{2})^2}}{q^{\frac{1}{12}}(1-q)}.$$

In particular, setting $x = y = 1$ yields the blowup formula

$$\sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^U_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n))q^{n-\frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}} = \frac{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(n+\frac{a}{2})^2}}{(1-q)} \cdot \sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^U_H(c_1, n))q^{n-\frac{c_1^2}{4}}.$$

We remark that the assumption that $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ determines the structure of $\mathfrak{M}^U_H(c_1, n)$. Moreover, by the Lemma 2.3 in [F-Q], if $(c_1 \cdot H)$ is odd and the anti-canonical divisor $(-K_X)$ is effective, then $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$. Thus if both $(-K_X)$ and $(-K_{\tilde{X}})$ are effective, then $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) is dense in $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ (respectively, in $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) for all n. In particular, if $(-K_X)$ is positive and the point x_0 is contained in a curve $C \in |-K_X|$, then $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) is dense in the Gieseker moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ (respectively, in $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) for all n.

The idea for the proof of our theorems is as follows. First of all, we reduce the blowup formulae to some universal relations among the virtual Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n), \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$, and $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$. Then using standard techniques such as taking double duals and elementary modifications, we stratify $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ into finite disjoint unions of locally closed subsets W. Roughly speaking, these subsets W admit morphisms f_W to $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, k)$ for some $k \le n$. Moreover, these morphisms $f_W : W \to \mathrm{Im}(f_W)$ are Zariski-locally trivial bundles, and the fibers are simple objects such as certain Grothendieck Quot-schemes and certain open subsets in the projective spaces. Using the properties of virtual Hodge polynomials, we are able to establish the universal relations among the virtual Hodge polynomials of $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n), \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$, and $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$.

Notice that since the Euler characteristic is not a cobordism invariant, the Euler characteristics of the Uhlenbeck compactification spaces on smooth four manifolds are not necessarily smooth invariants. These Euler characteristics depend on the Riemannian metrics on the smooth four manifolds. Nevertheless, we think that our blowup formula for the Euler characteristics of the Uhlenbeck compactification spaces may hold for general smooth four manifolds in the following sense. Fix a generic Riemannian metric g on a smooth four manifold X. On the connected sum $\overline{X} = X\#\overline{\mathbb{P}}^2$, following Donaldson's construction (see [Do2]), we take the metric $\overline{g}$ on $\overline{X}$ which is close to rg on X for $r \gg 0$ and to the Fubini-Study metric on $\overline{\mathbb{P}}^2$. Then our blowup formula may hold for the Euler characteristics of the Uhlenbeck compactification spaces on the Riemannian four manifolds (X, g) and $(\overline{X}, \overline{g})$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review virtual Hodge polynomials and their basic properties. In section 3, we recall the S-duality conjecture in the form formulated by Vafa and Witten, and slightly modify the conjectured blowup formula. In sections 4 and 5, we prove the blowup formula for the Uhlenbeck compactifications and the Gieseker moduli spaces respectively.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank William Banks, Jan Cheah, Jim Cogdell, Robert Friedman, Sheldon Katz, Jason Levy, Weiping Li, and Yongbin Ruan for valuable helps and stimulating discussions. The authors are very grateful to the referees for useful comments and suggestions which have greatly improved the exposition of the paper.

2. Virtual Hodge polynomials

Virtual Hodge polynomials can be viewed as a convenient tool for computing the Hodge numbers of smooth projective varieties by reducing to computing those of simpler varieties. Using Deligne's work [Del] on mixed Hodge structures, Danilov and Khovanskii [D-K] introduced virtual Hodge polynomials for a complex algebraic variety Y (not necessarily smooth, projective, or irreducible). The mixed Hodge structures which are defined on the cohomology $H_c^k(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ with compact support coincide with the classical one if the complex variety Y is projective and smooth. For each pair of integers (s, t), define the virtual Hodge number

$$e^{s,t}(Y) = \sum_k (-1)^k h^{s,t}(H_c^k(Y, \mathbb{Q})).$$

Then the virtual Hodge polynomials of Y is defined by

$$e(Y; x, y) = \sum_{s,t} e^{s,t}(Y) x^s y^t.$$

Virtual Hodge polynomials satisfy the following properties ([D-K, Ful, Che]):

(2.1) When Y is projective, $e(Y; 1, 1)$ is the same as the Euler characteristic $\chi(Y)$. When Y is projective and smooth, $e(Y; x, y)$ is the usual Hodge polynomial.

(2.2) If Z is a Zariski-closed subvariety of Y, then

$$e(Y; x, y) = e(Z; x, y) + e(Y - Z; x, y).$$

So if $Y = \coprod_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is a disjoint union of finitely many locally closed subsets (i.e. each Y_i is the intersection of an open subset and a closed subset), then

$$e(Y; x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n e(Y_i; x, y).$$

(2.3) If $f : Y \to Z$ is a Zariski-locally trivial bundle with fiber F, then

$$e(Y; x, y) = e(Z; x, y) \cdot e(F; x, y).$$

(2.4) If $f : Y \to Z$ is a bijective morphism, then $e(Y; x, y) = e(Z; x, y)$.

As in [Che], for a complex scheme Y, we define $e(Y; x, y) = e(Y_{\text{red}}; x, y)$.‡ Thus if Y is projective, we have $e(Y; 1, 1) = \chi(Y_{\text{red}})$ by (2.1). It is known that a morphism $f : Y \to Z$ naturally induces a morphism $f_{\text{red}} : Y_{\text{red}} \to Z_{\text{red}}$ between the reduced schemes Y_{red} and Z_{red}. For instance, f is bijective if and only if f_{red} is. Therefore, we see that the above properties (2.2)-(2.4) still hold for complex schemes.

In our applications, the Uhlenbeck compactifications $\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n)$ are complex varieties by J. Li's definition in [LiJ]. However, the Gieseker moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ are complex schemes since they could be nonreduced. So the virtual Hodge polynomials $e(\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n); x, y)$ of $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ are understood to be $e(\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)_{red}; x, y)$ by our definition.

---

‡In fact, mixed Hodge structures are defined for any complex scheme (see [Del]). Moreover, it is well-known that the mixed Hodge structures of Y and Y_{red} are the same. So a priori we could define the virtual Hodge polynomial $e(Y; x, y)$ for any complex scheme Y. It then follows that $e(Y; x, y) = e(Y_{\text{red}}; x, y)$ for any complex scheme Y.

3. The S-duality conjecture in the form formulated by Vafa and Witten

The physics background for the S-duality conjecture starts with the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory which is one of the most remarkable known quantum field theories in four dimensions. This theory has the largest possible number of supersymmetries for a 4-dimensional theory without gravity. A long-standing conjecture asserts that this theory carries a symmetry exchanging strong and weak coupling and exchanging electric and magnetic fields. Montonen and Olive [M-O] proposed a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry with the above properties and also exchanging the gauge group G with its dual group $\hat{G}$ whose weight lattice is the dual of that of G (e.g. $\hat{G} = SO(3)$ for $G = SU(2)$). In fact the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry can be extended to a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ symmetry, known as the S-duality, with $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acting on the complex parameter $\tau = \theta/2\pi + 4\pi i/g^2$ by $A(\tau) = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$ where g is the gauge coupling constant and θ is the theta angle. In [V-W], Vafa and Witten developed a true strong coupling test for the S-duality conjecture. It is noted that the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory has a twisted version that is a topological field theory [Yam]. Vafa and Witten showed that its partition function for gauge fields in a given topological class is the Euler characteristics of instanton moduli spaces when the 4-dimensional manifold is certain algebraic surface such as $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $K3$. In other words, the generating function for the partition functions is

$$Z_X(\tau, G) = \frac{q^{-s}}{\#c(G)} \sum_k \chi(\mathfrak{M}_k) q^k \tag{3.1}$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, s is some number, X is the 4-dimensional manifold, $\#c(G)$ is the number of elements in the center $c(G)$ of G, $\chi(\mathfrak{M}_k)$ is the Euler characteristic of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_k$ of k-instantons with gauge group G. In this setting, the S-duality conjecture says that $Z_X(\tau, G)$ is modular for a finite index subgroup Γ of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and that for some number w, there exists a transformation law

$$Z_X(-1/\tau, G) = \pm \left(\frac{\tau}{i}\right)^{w/2} \cdot Z_X(\tau, \hat{G}). \tag{3.2}$$

In the rest of the paper, we fix $G = SU(2)$ and $\hat{G} = SO(3)$. Using results about moduli spaces of semistable sheaves from algebraic geometry [Got, O'G, Nak, Qi3, Kly, Yos], Vafa and Witten [V-W] discussed the S-duality conjecture with gauge groups $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ for $K3$-surfaces and $\mathbb{P}^2$. It follows from these examples that the numbers s in (3.1) and w in (3.2) should be $s = \chi(X)/12$ and $w = -\chi(X)$. Thus by (3.1) and (3.2), the generating functions are

$$\begin{aligned} Z_X(\tau, SU(2)) &= \frac{q^{-\chi(X)/12}}{2} \sum_k \chi(\mathfrak{M}(0,k)) q^k \\ Z_X(\tau, SO(3)) &= q^{-\chi(X)/12} \sum_{v \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)} \sum_k \chi(\mathfrak{M}(v,k)) q^k, \end{aligned}$$

and the transformation law between $Z_X(\tau, SU(2))$ and $Z_X(\tau, SO(3))$ is

$$Z_X(-1/\tau, SU(2)) = \pm 2^{-\chi(X)/2} \left(\frac{\tau}{i}\right)^{-\chi(X)/2} \cdot Z_X(\tau, SO(3)) \tag{3.3}$$

(note that an additional factor $2^{-\chi(X)/2}$ was added). Here $\mathfrak{N}(0,k)$ is the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections associated to the $SU(2)$-principal bundle whose second Chern class is k, and $\mathfrak{N}(v,k)$ with $v \neq 0$ is the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections associated to the $SO(3)$-principal bundle whose second Stiefel-Whitney class and first Pontryagin class are v and $-4k$ respectively (the instanton number k may not be an integer). In fact (3.3) has been sharpened. For $v \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}_2)$, let

$$Z_{X,v}(\tau) = q^{-\chi(X)/12} \sum_k \chi(\mathfrak{N}(v,k))q^k. \tag{3.4}$$

So $Z_X(\tau, SU(2)) = \frac{1}{2} Z_{X,0}(\tau)$ and $Z_X(\tau, SO(3)) = \sum_{v\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}_2)} Z_{X,v}(\tau)$. Then (3.3) is a consequence of the following transformation law:

$$Z_{X,v}(-1/\tau) = \pm 2^{-b_2(X)/2}\left(\frac{\tau}{i}\right)^{-\chi(X)/2} \cdot \sum_{u\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}_2)} (-1)^{u\cdot v} Z_{X,u}(\tau) \tag{3.5}$$

where $(u \cdot v)$ stands for the intersection of u and v modulo 2.

Vafa and Witten [V-W] also studied the effect of blowing up (or topologically, connect sum with $\overline{\mathbb{P}}^2$) on the S-duality conjecture. Let $\widetilde{X} = X\#\overline{\mathbb{P}}^2$ and E be the class of a complex projective line in $\overline{\mathbb{P}}^2$. Then $H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 E$. So a class $\tilde{v}$ in $H^2(\widetilde{X},\mathbb{Z}_2)$ can be written as $\tilde{v} = v + aE$ with $v \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $a = 0$ or 1. Based on (3.5), Vafa and Witten conjectured that $Z_{\widetilde{X},\tilde{v}} = Z_a \cdot Z_{X,v}$ where Z_a is a universal function independent of X and v, i.e. the blowup formula is

$$\sum_k \chi(\mathfrak{N}(\tilde{v},k))q^k = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot Z_a) \cdot \sum_k \chi(\mathfrak{N}(v,k))q^k. \tag{3.6}$$

We are interested in verifying the blowup formula (3.6) for algebraic surfaces. For simplicity, we assume that the algebraic surfaces are simply connected. So let X be a simply connected algebraic surface, $\phi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the blowing-up of X at a point x_0, and E be the exceptional divisor. Fix a divisor c_1 on X, an integer $n \geq [c_1^2/4]$ (the Bogomolov inequality), and $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ with $a = 0$ or 1. Let H be an ample divisor on X with odd $(H \cdot c_1)$. It is well-known [F-M, Bru, Qi1] that for $r \gg 0$, all the divisors $H_r = r \cdot \phi^* H - E$ on $\widetilde{X}$ are ample and lie in the same open chamber of type $(\tilde{c}_1, n)$. Thus all the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_r}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) with $r \gg 0$ are identical, and shall be denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$). Since $(H_r \cdot \tilde{c}_1) = r(H \cdot c_1) - a$ and $(H \cdot c_1)$ is odd, we can always choose $r \gg 0$ such that $(H_r \cdot \tilde{c}_1)$ is also odd. By a result of Donaldson [Do1], the Mumford-Takemoto moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ is naturally identified with the instanton moduli space $\mathfrak{N}(v, n - c_1^2/4)$ where $v \equiv c_1 \pmod 2$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ is naturally identified with $\mathfrak{N}(\tilde{v}, n - \tilde{c}_1^2/4)$ where $\tilde{v} \equiv \tilde{c}_1 \pmod 2$. Then the blowup formula (3.6) becomes

$$\sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)_{\rm red})q^{n-\frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}} = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot Z_a) \cdot \sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)_{\rm red})q^{n-\frac{c_1^2}{4}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Since in general $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ are not compact, the blowup formula (3.7) needs to be modified. First of all, we compactify these moduli spaces. There are two compactifications, namely, the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$)

of Gieseker semistable sheaves from algebraic geometry and the Uhlenbeck compactifications $\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) from gauge theory. Unfortunately, these compactification spaces may not be smooth. So instead of using the Weil conjecture as in [Yos], we use the virtual Hodge polynomials (Jun Li [LiJ] showed that the Uhlenbeck compactifications do carry structures of complex varieties). Now we finally arrive at the blowup formulae that we shall study in the rest of the paper:

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}} = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot \widetilde{Z}_a) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}} = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot \widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\widetilde{Z}_a = \widetilde{Z}_a(x, y, q)$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a = \widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a(x, y, q)$ are universal functions of x, y, q, a.

We shall prove (3.9) in section 5. Formula (3.8) will be proved in section 4 by assuming that $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) is dense in $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ (respectively, in $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n)$) for all n. This condition determines explicitly the structure of the Uhlenbeck compactification $\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n)$). It follows from the formulae in Theorem 4.27 and Theorem 5.27 that

$$\widetilde{Z}_a(1, 1, q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n + \frac{a}{2})^2}}{q^{\frac{1}{12}}(1 - q)} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a(1, 1, q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n + \frac{a}{2})^2}}{[q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \ge 1}(1 - q^n)]^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Following Vafa and Witten [V-W], we rewrite $\widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a(1, 1, q)$ in a different form:

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a(1, 1, q) = \frac{\theta_a(q)}{\eta(q)^2} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\theta_a(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n + \frac{a}{2})^2}$ and $\eta(q) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \ge 1}(1 - q^n)$ is the Dedekind η-function. Our formula (3.12) agrees with the conjecture of Vafa-Witten [V-W].

Finally, we make some remarks about the blowup formula (3.8) and the Uhlenbeck compactification space. First of all, Vafa and Witten [V-W] only used the Gieseker moduli spaces as the compactification spaces. However, the gauge theoretic compactification of the moduli space of anti-self-dual instantons is the Uhlenbeck compactification space. More importantly, the Uhlenbeck compactification works for arbitrary smooth four manifolds rather than algebraic surfaces only. So it is natural to take the Uhlenbeck compactification space into consideration. Also, as we pointed out earlier, J. Li [LiJ] showed that the Uhlenbeck compactification space is a complex algebraic variety. So it is an interesting question in its own right whether an explicit closed formula (3.8) can be found.

4. Blowup formulae for the Uhlenbeck compactifications

In this section, we prove the blowup formula (3.8) for the Uhlenbeck compactifications. Essentially, the blowup formula (3.8) is equivalent to a universal relation between the virtual Hodge polynomials of the Mumford-Takemoto moduli spaces

$\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$. To prove the universal relation, we use elementary modifications and stratify $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ into a finite disjoint union of locally closed subsets. It turns out that the virtual Hodge polynomials of these subsets satisfy some universal recursion relations which enable us to deduce the universal relation between the virtual Hodge polynomials of $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$.

First of all, we recall some basic facts about elementary modifications. Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a locally free sheaf on $\widetilde{X}$ with $c_1(\widetilde{V}) = \phi^* c_1$. Then $\widetilde{V}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E(d) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(-d)$ for some $d \geq 0$. It is well-known that $d = 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{V} \cong \phi^* V$ for some locally free sheaf V on X. If $d > 0$, we consider the natural projection $\widetilde{V} \overset{\alpha_1}{\to} \mathcal{O}_E(-d) \to 0$. In fact, since $Hom(\widetilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_E(-d)) \cong \mathbb{C}$, the surjection α_1 is unique up to scalars. Let $\widetilde{V}' = \ker(\alpha_1)$. Then $\widetilde{V}'$ is locally free with $c_1(\widetilde{V}') = \phi^* c_1 - E$ and $c_2(\widetilde{V}') = c_2(\widetilde{V}) - d$. Moreover there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 & 0 & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_E(d) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \widetilde{V}|_E \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_E(-d) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{V}' \arrow[u, "\alpha_2"] \arrow[r] & \widetilde{V} \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] & \mathcal{O}_E(-d) \arrow[u, equal] \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & \widetilde{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-E) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, equal] & \widetilde{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-E) \arrow[u] & & \\
 & 0 \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[u] & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.1}$$

Conversely, assume that $\widetilde{V}'$ is a locally free sheaf with $c_1(\widetilde{V}') = \phi^* c_1 - E$ and that there exists a surjection $\widetilde{V}' \overset{\alpha_2}{\to} \mathcal{O}_E(d) \to 0$. Define $\widetilde{V}$ by putting $\widetilde{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-E) = \ker(\alpha_2)$. Then $\widetilde{V}$ is locally free with $c_1(\widetilde{V}) = \phi^* c_1$ and $c_2(\widetilde{V}) = c_2(\widetilde{V}') + d$. Moreover, this construction also leads to the commutative diagram (4.1). In fact, the correspondence between the pairs $(\widetilde{V}, \alpha_1)$ and $(\widetilde{V}', \alpha_2)$ is one-to-one.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $(H \cdot c_1)$ is odd. Let $\widetilde{V}$ and $\widetilde{V}'$ be as in the commutative diagram (4.1). Then $\widetilde{V}$ is H_r-stable if and only if $\widetilde{V}'$ is H_r-stable.*

Proof. Since $(H \cdot c_1)$ is odd, $\widetilde{V}$ is H_r-stable if and only if $(\phi_* \widetilde{V})^{**}$ is H-stable (see [Bru, Qi1]). Since $(\phi_* \widetilde{V})^{**} \cong (\phi_*(\widetilde{V}'))^{**}$, it follows that $\widetilde{V}$ is H_r-stable if and only if $(\phi_* \widetilde{V})^{**}$ is H-stable if and only if $\widetilde{V}'$ is H_r-stable. $\square$

Next, we study a stratification of $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$. For $d \geq 0$, let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{a,n}(d)$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ parameterizing all locally free sheaves $\widetilde{V}$ with $\widetilde{V}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E(d + a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(-d)$. The subset $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{a,n}(d)$ is constructible, i.e., a finite disjoint union of locally closed subsets (see [Har] for the definition of constructible subsets). Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(0) \cong \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$, and $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n) = \coprod_{d \geq 0} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{a,n}(d)$. For two nonnegative integers m_1 and m_2, let $U(m_1, m_2)$ be the subset of

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))) \cong \mathbb{P}^{m_1 + m_2 + 1}$$

parameterizing all pairs (f_1, f_2) of homogeneous polynomials such that $\deg(f_1) = m_1, \deg(f_2) = m_2$, and f_1 and f_2 are coprime. Then $U(m_1, m_2)$ parameterizes all surjective maps $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-m_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-m_2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to 0$. Now the virtual Hodge polynomials of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{a,n}(d)$ are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(H \cdot c_1)$ be odd and $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ with $a = 0$ or 1. Then*

(i) $e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(d); x, y) = \displaystyle\sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} e(U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell); x, y) e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell); x, y)$ for $d > 0$.

(ii) $e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n}(d); x, y) = \displaystyle\sum_{\ell=0}^{d} e(U(d-\ell, d+\ell); x, y) e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n-d}(\ell); x, y)$ for $d \geq 0$.

Proof. We shall only prove (i) since similar arguments work for (ii). For $\widetilde{V} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(d)$, let $\widetilde{V}'$ be the corresponding elementary modification in (4.1). Then $\widetilde{V}' \in \mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\phi^* c_1 - E, n-d)$. Let W_ℓ be the subset of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(d)$ consisting of all those $\widetilde{V}$ with $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(d) = \coprod_\ell W_\ell$. Recall that $\widetilde{V}'|_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E(\ell+1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(-\ell)$ for $\widetilde{V} \in W_\ell$. Since α_2 in (4.1) is surjective, we must have $\ell \leq (d-1)$. So

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(d) = \coprod_{\ell=0}^{d-1} W_\ell. \tag{4.4}$$

Claim: $e(W_\ell; x, y) = e(U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell); x, y) \cdot e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell); x, y)$.

Proof. By the discussions preceding Lemma 4.2, we can prove that W_ℓ admits a fibration to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ with fibers isomorphic to $U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell)$. The formula for $e(W_\ell; x, y)$ will follow if this fibration is Zariski-locally trivial. In the following, we shall verify a weaker version that there exists a Zariski-locally trivial fibration $T_0 \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ with fibers $U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell)$ such that T_0 admits a bijective morphism to W_ℓ. By (2.4), this weaker version is sufficient to prove the Claim.

First, recall that $r \gg 0$ and $(\tilde{c}_1 \cdot H_r)$ is odd. Thus by the Remark A.7 in [Muk], there exists a universal bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'$ over $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$. Let p_i be the projection of $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ to the i-th factor, and $\mathcal{E}$ be the relative extension sheaf

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1_{p_2}(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}').$$

Next, we show that $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free. Indeed, there is a local to global spectral sequence which has E_2 term $E_2^{m,n} = R^m p_{2*}(\mathcal{E}xt^n(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'))$ and converges to $\mathcal{E}xt^{m+n}_{p_2}(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'))$ (see [BPS, Fri]). So we have a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \to R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{H}om(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}')) \to \mathcal{E} \to$$

$$\to p_{2*}(\mathcal{E}xt^1(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}')) \to R^2 p_{2*}(\mathcal{H}om(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'))$$

Since $p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d)$ is torsion and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'$ is torsion free, $\mathcal{H}om(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}') = 0$. Hence

$$\mathcal{E} \cong p_{2*}(\mathcal{E}xt^1(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}')) \cong p_{2*}(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(d-1) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'). \tag{4.5}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{O}_E(-d), \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_E(d-1)$. Now

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(d-1) \otimes \widetilde{V}') \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(d-\ell-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(d+\ell))$$

for every $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{O}_E(d-1) \otimes \widetilde{V}')$ is independent of $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$. So $p_{2*}(p_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(d-1) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}')$ is locally free. By (4.5), $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free.

Put $T = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*)$. Then T is a Zariski-locally trivial bundle over $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$. By (4.5), the fiber of T over $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{P}(Ext^1(\mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{V}')) \cong \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(d-\ell-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(d+\ell))). \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, since $Hom(\mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{V}') = 0$ for every $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$, we obtain a universal extension by the Corollary 4.5 in [Lan]:

$$0 \to q_2^*\mathcal{L} \otimes (\mathrm{Id}_{\widetilde{X}} \times \gamma)^*\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}' \to \widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \to q_1^*\mathcal{O}_E(-d) \to 0 \tag{4.7}$$

where q_i is the projection from $\widetilde{X} \times T$ to the i-th factor, $\mathcal{L}$ is the tautological line bundle on T, and γ is the bundle projection $T \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$.

Let T_0 be the open subset of T consisting of extensions ξ:

$$0 \to \widetilde{V}' \to \widetilde{V} \to \mathcal{O}_E(-d) \to 0 \tag{4.8}$$

such that the middle term $\widetilde{V}$ is locally free. By the Proposition 1.30 in [Fri] (see also [Br1, Br2]), the middle term $\widetilde{V}$ corresponding to an extension class

$$\xi \in \mathbb{P}(Ext^1(\mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{V}')) \subset T$$

is locally free if and if only $\xi \in U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell)$ via the canonical identification (4.6). Now $p_1^*\mathcal{O}_E(d-1) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'$ is a rank two vector bundle over $E \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$, and its restriction to $E \times \{\widetilde{V}'\}$ with $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_E(d-\ell-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(d+\ell)$. By the Lemma 1 in [Br1], for each $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$, there exists a Zariski open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ such that $\widetilde{V}' \in \mathcal{U}$ and

$$(p_1^*\mathcal{O}_E(d-1) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}')|_{E \times \mathcal{U}} \cong p_1^*(\mathcal{O}_E(d-\ell-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(d+\ell)).$$

Thus via (4.5), $(\gamma|_{T_0})^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{U} \times U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell)$. Therefore the projection $\gamma|_{T_0} : T_0 \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ is Zariski-locally trivial, and its fiber over $\widetilde{V}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell)$ is isomorphic to $U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell)$. By (2.3), we have

$$e(T_0; x, y) = e(U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell); x, y) \cdot e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell); x, y). \tag{4.9}$$

We claim that there exists a bijective morphism from T_0 to W_ℓ. Indeed, by (4.7), (4.8), and Lemma 4.2, the bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}|_{\widetilde{X} \times T_0}$ induces a morphism

$$\Psi : T_0 \to \mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\phi^* c_1, n)$$

which sends $\xi \in T_0 \cap \mathbb{P}(Ext^1(\mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{V}'))$ to the corresponding $\widetilde{V}$ in (4.8). Since $\widetilde{V}$ is locally free and $c_1(\widetilde{V}) = \phi^* c_1$, restricting (4.8) to E yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_E(d) \to \widetilde{V}|_E \to \mathcal{O}_E(-d) \to 0.$$

Since $d > 0$, the above exact sequence must split, i.e. $\widetilde{V}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E(d) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(-d)$. Thus by the definition of W_ℓ, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\Psi) \subset W_\ell$. To show that $\Psi : T_0 \to W_\ell$ is surjective, let $\widetilde{V} \in W_\ell$ and consider the commutative diagram (4.1). The surjective map α_2 in (4.1) induces a surjective map $\mathcal{O}_E(\ell+1)\oplus\mathcal{O}_E(-\ell) \cong \widetilde{V}'|_E \to \mathcal{O}_E(d) \to 0$, i.e., an element $\xi \in U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell)$. Regard $\xi \in \mathbb{P}(Ext^1(\mathcal{O}_E(-d), \widetilde{V}'))$ via the canonical identification (4.6). Then the extension determined by ξ is precisely the second row in (4.1). So $\xi \in T_0$ and $\Psi(\xi) = \widetilde{V}$. Thus $\Psi : T_0 \to W_\ell$ is surjective. Similarly, using $Hom(\widetilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_E(-d)) \cong \mathbb{C}$ for $\widetilde{V} \in W_\ell$, we can show that Ψ is injective.

Since $\Psi : T_0 \to W_\ell$ is a bijective morphism, we obtain by (2.4) and (4.9) that

$$e(W_\ell; x, y) = e(T_0; x, y) = e(U(d-\ell-1, d+\ell); x, y) \cdot e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,n-d}(\ell); x, y). \quad \square$$

Our formula for $e(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(d); x, y)$ follows from (4.4), (2.2), and the Claim. $\square$

Now using Lemma 4.3, we can prove the universal relation between the virtual Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$. In Lemma 4.13 below, we shall partially compute the universal function. We remark that this universal relation will be used in the proofs of the blowup formulae for both the Uhlenbeck compactification spaces and the Gieseker moduli spaces.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $(H \cdot c_1)$ be odd and $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ with $a = 0$ or 1. Then there exists a universal function $B_a(x, y, q)$ such that*

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^n = B_a(x, y, q) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^n. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Note that $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\phi^* c_1, n) = \coprod_{d \ge 0} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(d)$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,n}(0) \cong \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$. Using Lemma 4.3 (i) and (ii) iteratively, we conclude that

$$e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\phi^* c_1, n); x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-[\frac{c_1^2}{4}]} B_{0,k}(x, y) \cdot e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k); x, y) \tag{4.12}$$

for some universal functions $B_{0,k}(x, y)$. It follows that

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\phi^* c_1, n); x, y) q^n = B_0(x, y, q) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^n$$

where we have put $B_0(x, y, q) = \sum_{n \ge 0} B_{0,n}(x, y) q^n$.

Similarly, there exists universal function $B_1(x, y, q)$ such that

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\phi^* c_1 - E, n); x, y) q^n = B_1(x, y, q) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^n. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.13. *Let $a = 0$ or 1. Then $B_a(1, 1, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n(n+a)}$.*

Proof. Since a slight change of the proof for $a = 0$ works for $a = 1$, we shall only prove the case $a = 0$. By the definition of $B_0(x, y, q)$, it suffices to show that

$$B_{0,n}(1, 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is not a square} \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is a square and } n > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

From the proof of (4.12), we see that $B_{0,0}(x,y) = 1$. Moreover, when $n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{0,n}(x,y) = & \sum_{\substack{0\le d_1, 0\le d_2\le d_1-1, \ldots, 0\le d_{2s-1}\le d_{2s-2}, 0\le d_{2s}\le d_{2s-1}-1, \ldots \\ \sum_{i\ge 1} d_i = n}} \\ & e(U(d_1-d_2-1, d_1+d_2); x, y) e(U(d_2-d_3, d_2+d_3); x, y) \ldots \\ & e(U(d_{2s-1}-d_{2s}-1, d_{2s-1}+d_{2s}); x, y) \\ & e(U(d_{2s}-d_{2s+1}, d_{2s}+d_{2s+1}); x, y) \ldots . \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Thus (4.14) holds when $n = 0$. In the following, we assume that $n > 0$.
Claim: *Let m_1 and m_2 be two integers with $0 \le m_1 \le m_2$. Then,*

$$e(U(m_1, m_2); 1, 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \textit{if } m_1 > 0 \\ 1, & \textit{if } m_1 = 0 \textit{ and } m_2 > 0 \\ 2, & \textit{if } m_1 = m_2 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We use mathematical induction on m_1. First of all, we show that (4.16) is true when $m_1 = 0$. Indeed, the subset $U(0,0)$ of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(0))) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ coincides with $\mathbb{P}^1$. Since $e(\mathbb{P}^d; x, y) = 1 + (xy) + \ldots + (xy)^d$, we have

$$e(U(0,0); x, y) = e(\mathbb{P}^1; x, y) = 1 + (xy).$$

So (4.16) holds for $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. When $m_2 > 0$, the subset $U(0, m_2)$ of

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2)))$$

is $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))) - \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))) \cong \mathbb{P}^{m_2+1} - \mathbb{P}^{m_2}$. Thus,

$$e(U(0, m_2); x, y) = e(\mathbb{P}^{m_2+1}; x, y) - e(\mathbb{P}^{m_2}; x, y) = (xy)^{m_2+1}.$$

Hence (4.16) also holds for $m_1 = 0$ and $m_2 > 0$.

Next let $m_1 > 0$. The possible degree of the greatest common divisor of a pair

$$(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))) - \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2)))$$

can be $0, \ldots, m_1$. For $d = 0, \ldots, m_1$, let Y_d be the subset of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))) - \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2)))$ parameterizing all pairs (f_1, f_2) such that the greatest common divisor of f_1 and f_2 has degree d. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^{m_1+m_2+1} - \mathbb{P}^{m_2} & \cong \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))) - \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2))) \\ & = \coprod_{d=0,\ldots,m_1} Y_d. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Let $1 \le d \le m_1$, and $(f_1, f_2) \in Y_d$ with $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = f$. Then we can write $f_1 = fg_1$ and $f_2 = fg_2$ with $f \in \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d))) \cong \mathbb{P}^d$ and

$$(g_1, g_2) \in \begin{cases} U(m_1 - d, m_2 - d), & \text{if } 1 \le d < m_1 \\ U(0, m_2 - m_1), & \text{if } d = m_1 \text{ and } m_1 < m_2 \\ U(0,0) - \{\text{a point}\}, & \text{if } d = m_1 \text{ and } m_1 = m_2. \end{cases}$$

Thus Y_d is the product of the space $\mathbb{P}^d$ with the space $U(m_1-d, m_2-d)$ when $1 \le d < m_1$ or $d = m_1 < m_2$, or with the space $U(0,0) - \{\text{a point}\} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\text{a point}\}$ when $d = m_1 = m_2$. So for $1 \le d \le m_1$, we have

$$e(Y_d; x, y) = e(\mathbb{P}^d; x, y) \cdot \begin{cases} e(U(m_1-d, m_2-d); x, y), & \text{if } 1 \le d < m_1 \\ e(U(0, m_2-m_1); x, y), & \text{if } d = m_1 < m_2 \\ (xy), & \text{if } d = m_1 = m_2 \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

Note that $e(\mathbb{P}^d; 1, 1) = (d+1)$. By (4.18) and our induction hypothesis,

$$e(Y_d; 1, 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \le d < m_1 \\ (m_1+1), & \text{if } d = m_1 \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

Since $Y_0 = U(m_1, m_2)$, we conclude from (4.17) and (4.19) that

$$(m_1+m_2+2) - (m_2+1) = e(U(m_1, m_2); 1, 1) + (m_1+1). \tag{4.20}$$

It follows that $e(U(m_1, m_2); 1, 1) = 0$ when $m_1 > 0$. □

We continue the proof of the formula (4.14). Let $x = y = 1$. Then by the Claim, the typical term in (4.15) is nonzero only if we have

$$d_1 - d_2 - 1 = 0, d_2 - d_3 = 0, \ldots, d_{2s-1} - d_{2s} - 1 = 0, d_{2s} - d_{2s+1} = 0, \ldots$$

i.e. $d_{2s} = d_{2s+1} = d_1 - s$. Since $\sum_{i \ge 1} d_i = n$, we obtain

$$n = d_1 + 2(d_1 - 1) + 2(d_1 - 2) + \ldots + 4 + 2 = d_1^2. \tag{4.21}$$

It follows that if n is not a square, then $B_{0,n}(1,1) = 0$. If n is a positive square and $n = n_0^2$ with $n_0 > 0$, then there is exactly one nonzero term in (4.15)

$$\begin{gathered} e(U(0, 2n_0-1); 1, 1) e(U(0, 2n_0-2); 1, 1) \ldots \\ \cdot e(U(0, 2n_0-(2s-1)); 1, 1) e(U(0, 2n_0-2s); 1, 1) \ldots \\ \cdot e(U(0, 1); 1, 1) e(U(0, 0); 1, 1) \end{gathered}$$

given by $d_1 = n_0, d_2 = n_0 - 1, \ldots, d_{2s-1} = n_0 - (s-1), d_{2s} = n_0 - s, \ldots$. Thus by the Claim once again, we conclude that $B_{0,n}(1,1) = 2$. □

Remark 4.22. (i) Using the expression (4.15), one can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{0,0}(x,y) &= 1 \\ B_{0,1}(x,y) &= (xy)^2(1+xy) \\ B_{0,2}(x,y) &= (-1)(xy)^2(1+xy)[1-(xy)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

However, it is unclear how to get a closed formula for $B_{0,n}(x,y)$ in general.

(ii) Naively $B_0(1,1,q)$ (resp. $B_1(1,1,q)$) may be obtained from the Proposition 0.3 (resp. the Remark 4.5) in [Yos] by replacing the q and t there by 1 and q respectively. However it is unclear whether an analogue of the Weil conjecture holds for arbitrary algebraic varieties and cohomology with compact support.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n)$ be the Uhlenbeck compactification of $\mathfrak{N}(c_1, n - c_1^2/4) \cong \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ (see [Uhl, Do1, Do2, F-M]), and let $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$ be the n-th symmetric product of X. Our next lemma determines explicitly the structure of $\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n)$.

Lemma 4.23. *Let $(c_1 \cdot H)$ be odd. Assume that the Mumford-Takemoto moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ is dense in the Gieseker moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n) = \coprod_{i=[\frac{c_1^2}{4}]}^{n} \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i}(X). \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. We follow the argument in the proof of the Proposition 7 in section 5 of [H-L]. Since $(c_1 \cdot H)$ is odd, c_1 is odd and H does not lie on any wall of type (c_1, n). Thus, all the relevant anti-self-dual $SO(3)$-connections are irreducible, and correspond to H-stable rank-2 bundles by Donaldson's result [Do1]. It follows from the definition of the Uhlenbeck compactification that

$$\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n) \subset \coprod_{i=[\frac{c_1^2}{4}]}^{n} \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i}(X).$$

Now endow $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ with the reduced scheme structure. By our assumption, $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$. Jun Li's results [LiJ] which are for $c_1 = 0$ but generalize to odd c_1 say that there exists a morphism

$$\gamma : \mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n) \to \mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n)$$

such that $\gamma(V) = (V^{**}, Z_V)$, where V^{**} is the double dual of V and

$$Z_V \overset{\text{define}}{=} \sum_{x \in X} h^0(X, (V^{**}/V)_x) \cdot x \in \mathrm{Sym}^{n-c_2(V^{**})}(X).$$

To verify (4.24), it suffices to show that for $[c_1^2/4] \le i \le n$ and for every

$$(V', Z') \in \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i}(X),$$

there exists $V \in \mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ such that $\gamma(V) = (V', Z')$. Choose a 0-cycle Z on X with $\sum_{x \in X} \mathrm{length}(Z_x) \cdot x = Z'$. Take any surjective map $V' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0$. Let $V = \ker(\alpha)$. Then $V \in \mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ with $V^{**} = V'$ and $Z_V = Z'$. So $\gamma(V) = (V', Z')$. $\square$

We remark that our assumption that the Mumford-Takemoto moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ is only used in Lemma 4.23 and Theorem 4.27 below. Moreover, by the Lemma 2.3 in [F-Q], if $(c_1 \cdot H)$ is odd and the anti-canonical divisor $(-K_X)$ is effective, then $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ be the Uhlenbeck compactification of $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(c_1, n)$ is dense in the Gieseker moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^G(c_1, n)$. By our convention, we have $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{H_r}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{H_r}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ where $r \gg 0$ and $(H_r \cdot \tilde{c}_1)$ is odd. Replacing X, c_1, H in (4.24) by $\widetilde{X}, \tilde{c}_1, H_r$ respectively, we see that the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{H_r}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ is:

$$\coprod_{i=[\frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}]}^{n} \mathfrak{M}_{H_r}(\tilde{c}_1, i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i}(\widetilde{X}) = \coprod_{i=[\frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}]}^{n} \mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i}(\widetilde{X}). \tag{4.25}$$

Therefore the Uhlenbeck compactification $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ is:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n) = \coprod_{i=[\frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}]}^{n} \mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, i) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{n-i}(\widetilde{X}). \tag{4.26}$$

Now we are ready to prove the blowup formula (3.8).

Theorem 4.27. *Let* $(H \cdot c_1)$ *be odd and* $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ *with* $a = 0$ *or* 1. *Assume that* $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$ *(respectively,* $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$*) is dense in the Gieseker moduli space* $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ *(respectively, in* $\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^G(\tilde{c}_1, n)$*) for all* n. *Then*

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}} = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot \widetilde{Z}_a) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}} \tag{4.28}$$

where $\widetilde{Z}_a = \widetilde{Z}_a(x, y, q)$ *is a universal function of* x, y, q, a *with*

$$\widetilde{Z}_a(1, 1, q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n + \frac{a}{2})^2}}{q^{\frac{1}{12}}(1 - q)}. \tag{4.29}$$

Proof. Let $h^{s,t}(X)$ stand for the Hodge numbers of X. Then

$$\sum_n e(\mathrm{Sym}^n(X); x, y) q^n = \frac{1}{\prod_{s,t}(1 - x^s y^t q)^{(-1)^{s+t} h^{s,t}(X)}} \tag{4.30}$$

by the formula (*5) on p.481 of [Che]. By (4.26) and (4.24), we have

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^n = \sum_n e(\mathrm{Sym}^n(\widetilde{X}); x, y) q^n \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^n$$

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n); x, y) q^n = \sum_n e(\mathrm{Sym}^n(X); x, y) q^n \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^n.$$

Note that $h^{s,t}(\widetilde{X}) = h^{s,t}(X)$ when $(s,t) \neq (1,1)$ and $h^{1,1}(\widetilde{X}) = 1 + h^{1,1}(X)$. Therefore by (4.30) and Proposition 4.10, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}^U(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^n}{\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H^U(c_1, n); x, y) q^n} &= \frac{1}{1 - xyq} \cdot \frac{\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^n}{\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^n} \\ &= \frac{B_a(x, y, q)}{1 - xyq}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Since $\tilde{c}_1^2 = c_1^2 - a$, the formula (4.28) follows from (4.31) by putting

$$\widetilde{Z}_a(x, y, q) = \frac{q^{\frac{a}{4}} \cdot B_a(x, y, q)}{q^{\frac{1}{12}}(1 - xyq)}. \tag{4.32}$$

Finally, we obtain (4.29) from (4.32) and Lemma 4.13. □

5. Blowup formulae for the Gieseker moduli spaces

In this section, we prove the blowup formula (3.9) for the Gieseker moduli spaces. By Proposition 4.10, it suffices to prove a universal relation between the virtual Hodge polynomials of the Gieseker moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ and the Mumford-Takemoto moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$. Using standard techniques, we stratify the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ into a finite disjoint union of locally closed subsets. We show that these subsets are closely related to $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n - k)$ and the Grothendieck

Quot-scheme $\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}$ where $0 \le k \le n - [c_1^2/4]$. Then we obtain the universal relation between the virtual Hodge polynomials of $\mathfrak{M}_H^G(c_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)$.

Our first lemma studies the virtual Hodge polynomials of Grothendieck Quot-schemes. In its simplest form, it says that if V is a locally free rank-2 sheaf over X, then $e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_V; x, y) = e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y)$. We recall some definitions and notations from [Gro]. Let Y be a projective scheme over a base noetherian scheme S, and $\mathcal{V}$ be a locally free rank-r sheaf over Y. For a nonnegative integer n, let $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}$ be the (relative) Quot-scheme parameterizing all the surjections $\mathcal{V}|_{Y_s} \to Q \to 0$ with $s \in S$ such that the quotients Q are torsion sheaves supported at finitely many points and $h^0(Y_s, Q) = n$ (for simplicity, we have used Quot^n_V to stand for $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{V/X/\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})}$). Let $\pi : \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S} \to S$ be the natural map. Since $Y \to S$ is projective, so is π. Over $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}$, there exists a universal quotient:

$$p_1^*\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{Q}_n \to 0 \tag{5.1}$$

where $p_1 : Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S} \to Y$ is the natural projection.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a locally free rank-r sheaf over Y. Then*

$$e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}; x, y) = e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}/Y/S}; x, y).$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is locally free, we can decompose Y into a finite disjoint union of locally closed subsets $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ such that for each i, there exist a Zariski open subset U_i of Y containing Y_i and an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}|_{U_i}$. Let $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$ be the subset of $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}$ consisting of all the points q such that if $\mathcal{V}|_{Y_{\pi(q)}} \to \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y_{\pi(q)}} \to 0$ is the surjection parameterized by q, then

$$\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y_{\pi(q)}}) \subset (Y_i)_{\pi(q)}.$$

Since Y_i is locally closed, we conclude that the subset $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$ is constructible, i.e., a finite disjoint union of locally closed subsets.

First of all, by the universality of Quot-schemes, we claim that

$$\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \cong \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}/Y/S}(Y_i)$$

for each i. Indeed, restricting (5.1) to $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$ yields a surjection

$$p_1^*\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\beta_i} \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)} \to 0 \tag{5.3}$$

over $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$ (here and thereafter, by abusing notations, we always use p_1 to stand for the first projection such as $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \to Y$). From the definition of $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$, we see that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)})$ is contained in $Y_i \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$. Since $Y_i \subset U_i$, we obtain the inclusions

$$\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}) \subset Y_i \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \subset U_i \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i). \tag{5.4}$$

Notice that $\mathrm{Hom}(p_1^*\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)})$ is isomorphic to

$$H^0(Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i), (p_1^*\mathcal{V})^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}). \tag{5.5}$$

By (5.4) and since $\mathcal{V}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}|_{U_i}$, we have an isomorphism

$$(p_1^*\mathcal{V})^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)} \cong (p_1^*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r})^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Therefore, we get following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}(p_1^*\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}) \\ \cong\, & H^0(Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i), (p_1^*\mathcal{V})^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}) \\ \cong\, & H^0(Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i), (p_1^*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r})^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}) \\ \cong\, & \mathrm{Hom}(p_1^*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}, \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}). \end{aligned}$$

Via these isomorphisms, the map β_i in (5.3) induces a map

$$p_1^*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r} \overset{\phi_i}{\to} \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)}$$

over $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$. Since β_i is surjective, we see that the induced map ϕ_i is also surjective. By the universal property of the Quot-schemes, the surjection

$$p_1^*\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r} \overset{\phi_i}{\to} \mathcal{Q}_n|_{Y\times_S \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)} \to 0 \tag{5.7}$$

induces a morphism $\Phi_i : \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \to \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}/Y/S}$. It is clear that the image of Φ_i is $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}/Y/S}(Y_i)$ and that Φ_i induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \cong \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}/Y/S}(Y_i). \tag{5.8}$$

For every surjection $\mathcal{V}|_{Y_s} \to Q \to 0$ parameterized by a point q in $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}$ with $\pi(q) = s$, the quotient Q can be written as $\oplus_{i=1}^m Q_i$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(Q_i) \subset (Y_i)_s$. Moreover, since $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ are disjoint, the surjection $\mathcal{V}|_{Y_s} \to Q \to 0$ is equivalent to the surjections $\mathcal{V}|_{Y_s} \to Q_i \to 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$. It follows from the universal property of the Quot-schemes that there exists a bijective morphism

$$\coprod_{\sum\limits_i n_i = n} \prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \to \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S} \tag{5.9}$$

where we have used the notation $\prod\limits_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$ to stand for the fiber product of $\mathrm{Quot}^{n_1}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_1), \ldots, \mathrm{Quot}^{n_m}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_m)$ over S.

More precisely, fix nonnegative integers $n_1, \ldots, n_m$ with $\sum_i n_i = n$. We shall prove that there exists an injective morphism

$$\Psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_m} : \prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \to \mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S} \tag{5.10}$$

such that $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S} = \coprod\limits_{\sum\limits_i n_i = n} \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_m})$. Then (5.9) follows immediately.

To prove (5.10), restricting the universal surjection $p_1^*\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{Q}_{n_i} \to 0$ over $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}$ to $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$, we obtain a surjection

$$p_1^*\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \mathcal{Q}_{n_i}|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)} \to 0$$

over $Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$. Consider the map over $Y \times_S (\prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i))$:

$$\alpha \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \widetilde{\alpha}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \widetilde{\alpha}_m : p_1^*\mathcal{V} \to \bigoplus_i p_{2,i}^*(\mathcal{Q}_{n_i}|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)})$$

where $p_{2,i} : Y \times_S (\prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)) \to Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)$ is the natural projection, and $\widetilde{\alpha}_i : p_1^*\mathcal{V} \to p_{2,i}^*(\mathcal{Q}_{n_i}|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)})$ is the pull-back of α_i via $p_{2,i}$. By (5.4), the support of $p_{2,i}^*(\mathcal{Q}_{n_i}|_{Y \times_S \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)})$ is contained in

$$Y_i \times_S (\prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i)).$$

Since $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ are disjoint and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ are surjective, α is also surjective. By the universal property of the Quot-schemes, α induces a morphism

$$\Psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_m} : \prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i) \to \mathrm{Quot}^{n}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}.$$

It is clear from the construction that $\Psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_m}$ is injective and $\mathrm{Quot}^{n}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S} = \coprod_{\sum_i n_i = n} \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_m})$. This proves our assertion (5.10).

Applying (5.10) to $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}$, and using the identification (5.8) and the properties (2.3) and (2.4) of the virtual Hodge polynomials, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathrm{Quot}^{n}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}; x, y) &= \sum_{\sum_i n_i = n} e\left(\prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{V}/Y/S}(Y_i); x, y\right) \\ &= \sum_{\sum_i n_i = n} e\left(\prod_{i/S} \mathrm{Quot}^{n_i}_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}/Y/S}(Y_i); x, y\right) \\ &= e(\mathrm{Quot}^{n}_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}/Y/S}; x, y). \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\widetilde{X}$ stands for the blowup of the surface X at a point $x_0 \in X$ with the exceptional divisor E. Our next lemma says that there exists a universal relation between the generating functions $\sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y)q^n$ and $\sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}^{\oplus 2}}; x, y)q^n$.

Lemma 5.11. *There exists a universal function $Q(x, y, q)$ such that*

$$\sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}^{\oplus 2}}; x, y)q^n = Q(x, y, q) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y)q^n. \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{U} = \widetilde{X} - E$, and let $\widetilde{W_k}$ be the subset of the Grothendieck Quot-scheme $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}}}$ parameterizing all the surjections $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}} \to \widetilde{Q} \to 0$ such that $\sum_{\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{U}} h^0(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Q}_{\tilde{x}}) = k$. Then there exists a bijective morphism

$$\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{U}}} \times \widetilde{T}_{n-k} \to \widetilde{W_k} \tag{5.13}$$

where $\widetilde{T}_{n-k}$ is the subset of $\mathrm{Quot}^{n-k}_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}}}$ parameterizing all the surjections $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}} \to \widetilde{Q} \to 0$ such that the quotients $\widetilde{Q}$ are supported on E. Since $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}}} = \coprod_{k=0}^n \widetilde{W_k}$,

$$e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}}}; x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n e(\widetilde{W_k}; x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{U}}}; x, y) \cdot e(\widetilde{T}_{n-k}; x, y).$$

So $\displaystyle\sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}}}; x, y) q^n = \sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{U}}}; x, y) q^n \cdot \sum_n e(\widetilde{T}_n; x, y) q^n$. Similarly,

$$\sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{X}}; x, y) q^n = \sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{U}}; x, y) q^n \cdot \sum_n e(T_{n,x_0}; x, y) q^n$$

where $U = X - \{x_0\}$ and T_{n,x_0} is the subset of $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_X}$ parameterizing all the surjections $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_X \to Q \to 0$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}(Q) = x_0$. Since $\widetilde{U} \cong U$, we obtain

$$\sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}}}; x, y) q^n = \frac{\sum_n e(\widetilde{T}_n; x, y) q^n}{\sum_n e(T_{n,x_0}; x, y) q^n} \cdot \sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_X}; x, y) q^n. \tag{5.14}$$

It remains to show that $\widetilde{T}_n$ and T_{n,x_0} are independent of the surface X and the point $x_0 \in X$. We shall only prove this for $\widetilde{T}_n$ since a slight modification of the argument also works for T_{n,x_0}. Note that $\widetilde{T}_n$ is a closed subset of the projective variety $\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}}}$. By Serre's GAGA principals, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{T}_n$ is independent of X and $x_0 \in X$ in analytic category.

Let B_{x_0} be an analytic small open ball containing the point $x_0 \in X$, and let $\widetilde{B}_{x_0}$ be the blowup of B_{x_0} at x_0. Since B_{x_0} is independent of X and x_0, so is $\widetilde{B}_{x_0}$. Now $\phi^{-1}(B_{x_0}) \cong \widetilde{B}_{x_0}$ is an open neighborhood of the exceptional divisor E. Every quotient $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{X}} \to \widetilde{Q} \to 0$ in $\widetilde{T}_n$ is equivalent to the quotient $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{\widetilde{B}_{x_0}} \to \widetilde{Q} \to 0$. Hence $\widetilde{T}_n$ is independent of X and x_0 in analytic category. $\square$

Next, we study a stratification of the Gieseker moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$. Let $W \in \mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ and W^{**} be its double dual. Then $W^{**} \in \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k)$ for some k with $0 \le k \le n - [\frac{c_1^2}{4}]$, and W^{**} sits in a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \to W \to W^{**} \to Q \to 0$$

where Q is a torsion sheaf supported at finitely many points and $h^0(X, Q) = k$. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}^k = \{W \in \mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n) \,|\, W^{**} \in \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k)\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n) = \coprod_k \mathfrak{M}^k$ is a decomposition of constructible subsets. The following lemma determines the virtual Hodge polynomial $e(\mathfrak{M}^k; x, y)$ of $\mathfrak{M}^k$.

Lemma 5.15. *Assume that* $(H \cdot c_1)$ *is odd. Then we have*

$$e(\mathfrak{M}^k; x, y) = e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y) \cdot e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k); x, y).$$

Proof. Since $(H \cdot c_1)$ is odd, there exists a universal bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $X \times \mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k)$ by the Remark A.7 in [Muk]. For convenience, we denote $\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k)$ by $\mathfrak{M}$ and the (relative) Quot-scheme $\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{V}/X \times \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}}$ by Quot.

We claim that there exists a bijective morphism $\Psi : \mathrm{Quot} \to \mathfrak{M}^k$. Indeed, there is a universal surjection $p_1^*\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{Q} \to 0$ over $(X \times \mathfrak{M}) \times_{\mathfrak{M}} \mathrm{Quot} \cong X \times \mathrm{Quot}$, where $p_1 : X \times \mathrm{Quot} \to X \times \mathfrak{M}$ is the natural projection. So we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(\alpha) \to p_1^*\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{Q} \to 0 \tag{5.16}$$

over $X \times \mathrm{Quot}$. Let $\pi : \mathrm{Quot} \to \mathfrak{M}$ be the natural projection, and let $q \in \mathrm{Quot}$. Restrict (5.16) to $X \times q$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is flat over Quot, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(\alpha)|_{X \times q} \to \mathcal{V}|_{X \times \pi(q)} \to \mathcal{Q}|_{X \times q} \to 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{V}|_{X \times \pi(q)}$ is H-stable and $\mathcal{Q}|_{X \times q}$ is a torsion sheaf supported at finitely many points with $h^0(\mathcal{Q}|_{X \times q}) = k$, we conclude that

$$\ker(\alpha)|_{X \times q} \in \mathfrak{M}^k \subset \mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n).$$

Hence $\ker(\alpha)$ induces a morphism $\Phi : \mathrm{Quot} \to \mathfrak{M}^k$. It is clear that Φ is bijective.

By (2.4), $e(\mathfrak{M}^k; x, y) = e(\mathrm{Quot}; x, y)$. Applying Lemma 5.2, we obtain

$$e(\mathrm{Quot}; x, y) = e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{X \times \mathfrak{M}}/X \times \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}}; x, y).$$

By the universal property of Quot-schemes, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{X \times \mathfrak{M}}/X \times \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}} \cong \mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}/X/\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})} \times \mathfrak{M}$$

which is $\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}} \times \mathfrak{M}$ in our simplified notation. Putting all these together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathfrak{M}^k; x, y) &= e(\mathrm{Quot}; x, y) = e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{X \times \mathfrak{M}}/X \times \mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}}; x, y) \\ &= e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}} \times \mathfrak{M}; x, y) = e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y) \cdot e(\mathfrak{M}; x, y) \\ &= e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y) \cdot e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k); x, y). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now using the universal functions $Q(x, y, q)$ and $B_a(x, y, q)$, we prove a universal relation between the virtual Hodge polynomials of the Gieseker moduli spaces. In Lemma 5.18 below, we shall apply this universal relation to determine $Q(1, 1, q)$.

Proposition 5.17. *Let* $(H \cdot c_1)$ *be odd and* $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ *with* $a = 0$ *or* 1. *Then*

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^n = B_a(x, y, q) Q(x, y, q) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^n.$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n) = \coprod_k \mathfrak{M}^k$, we see from (2.2) and Lemma 5.15 that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n); x, y)q^n &= \sum_n \sum_k e(\mathfrak{M}^k; x, y)q^n \\ = \sum_n \sum_k e(\mathrm{Quot}^k_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y) &\cdot e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n-k); x, y) \cdot q^n \\ = \sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}; x, y)q^n &\cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n); x, y)q^n.\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, we also conclude that

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y)q^n = \sum_n e(\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}^{\oplus 2}}; x, y)q^n \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y)q^n.$$

In view of Proposition 4.10 and Lemma 5.11, we obtain

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y)q^n = B_a(x, y, q)Q(x, y, q) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n); x, y)q^n. \quad \square$$

Next, we shall use the universal relation in Proposition 5.17 and the results in [Yos] to determine $Q(1, 1, q)$. We apply Proposition 5.17 to $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. However, based on (5.14), it might be possible to determine $Q(1, 1, q)$ (resp. $Q(x, y, q)$) by computing $e(\widetilde{T}_n; 1, 1)$ and $e(T_{n,x_0}; 1, 1)$ (resp. $e(\widetilde{T}_n; x, y)$ and $e(T_{n,x_0}; x, y)$) directly.

Lemma 5.18. $Q(1, 1, q) = \dfrac{1}{\prod_{n\geq 1}(1 - q^n)^2}$.

Proof. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, H be the divisor represented by a line in X, $c_1 = -H$, and $a = 0$. Then $c_1 \cdot H = -1$ is odd. By the results on p.213 and Theorem 0.4 in [Yos],

$$\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n = \sum_n \#\,\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n \cdot \sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n \tag{5.19}$$

$$\sum_n \#\,\mathrm{Quot}^n_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}}(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n = \prod_{c\geq 1}\prod_{b=1}^{2} Z_s(X, s^{2c-b}q^c) \tag{5.20}$$

where $\mathbb{F}_s$ is a finite field with s elements, and $\#Y(\mathbb{F}_s)$ is the number of rational points for an algebraic scheme Y over $\mathbb{F}_s$, and

$$Z_s(X, q) \quad \overset{\text{def}}{=} \quad \exp\left(\sum_{r>0}(\#X(\mathbb{F}_{s^r}))\frac{q^r}{r}\right)$$

is the zeta function of X over $\mathbb{F}_s$. Combining (5.19) and (5.20), we obtain

$$\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n = \prod_{c\geq 1}\prod_{b=1}^{2} Z_s(X, s^{2c-b}q^c) \cdot \sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n \tag{5.21}$$

By a similar argument, we also conclude that

$$\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n = \prod_{c\geq 1}\prod_{b=1}^{2} Z_s(\widetilde{X}, s^{2c-b}q^c)\cdot\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n. \tag{5.22}$$

Next, by the Proposition 0.3 in [Yos], we have

$$\frac{\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n}{\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}_H(c_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s^{n(2n-1)} q^{n^2} \cdot \prod_{c \ge 1} \frac{1 - s^{2c-1}q^c}{1 - s^{2c}q^c}. \tag{5.23}$$

Since $Z_s(\widetilde{X}, q) = \frac{1}{1-sq} \cdot Z_s(X, q)$, we obtain from (5.21), (5.22) and (5.23) that

$$\frac{\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n}{\sum_n \#\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)q^n} = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s^{n(2n-1)} q^{n^2}}{\prod_{c \ge 1}(1 - s^{2c}q^c)^2}. \tag{5.24}$$

It was proved in [Yos] that both $\#\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)$ and $\#\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)(\mathbb{F}_s)$ are polynomials of s. Since all the nonempty moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)$ are smooth, a consequence of the Weil Conjecture (see p.197 in [Yos] for more details) says that replacing s by 1 in the right-hand-side of (5.24) yields

$$\frac{\sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n))q^n}{\sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n))q^n} = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}}{\prod_{n \ge 1}(1 - q^n)^2}. \tag{5.25}$$

Since $\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)$ is smooth, $\chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n)) = e(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); 1, 1)$. Similarly, $\chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n)) = e(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n); 1, 1)$. Thus by Proposition 5.17 and Lemma 4.13,

$$\frac{\sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n))q^n}{\sum_n \chi(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n))q^n} = B_0(1, 1, q)Q(1, 1, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} \cdot Q(1, 1, q). \tag{5.26}$$

It follows immediately from (5.25) and (5.26) that

$$Q(1, 1, q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{n \ge 1}(1 - q^n)^2}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.27. *Let* $(H \cdot c_1)$ *be odd and* $\tilde{c}_1 = \phi^* c_1 - aE$ *with* $a = 0$ *or* 1. *Then*

$$\sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_{H_\infty}(\tilde{c}_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{\tilde{c}_1^2}{4}} = (q^{\frac{1}{12}} \cdot \widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a) \cdot \sum_n e(\mathfrak{M}^G_H(c_1, n); x, y) q^{n - \frac{c_1^2}{4}}$$

where $\widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a = \widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a(x, y, q)$ *is a universal function of* x, y, q, a *with*

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a(1, 1, q) = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n + \frac{a}{2})^2}}{[q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \ge 1}(1 - q^n)]^2}.$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 5.17, Lemma 4.13, and Lemma 5.18. Note that

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{Z}}_a(x, y, q) = \frac{q^{\frac{a}{4}} \cdot B_a(x, y, q) \cdot Q(x, y, q)}{q^{\frac{1}{12}}}. \quad \square$$

References

[BPS] C. Banica, M. Putinar, G. Schumacher, *Variation der globalen Ext in Deformationen kompakter komplexer Räume*, Math. Ann. **250** (1980), 135-155.

[Br1] J. Brosius, *Rank-2 vector bundles on a ruled surface I*, Math. Ann. **265** (1983), 155-168.

[Br2] ———, *Rank-2 vector bundles on a ruled surface II*, Math. Ann. **266** (1983), 199-214.

[Bru] R. Brussee, *Stable bundles on blown up surfaces*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), 551–565.

[Che] J. Cheah, *On the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points*, J. Alg. Geom. **5** (1996), 479-511.

[D-K] V.I. Danilov, A.G. Khovanskii, *Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge-Deligne numbers*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **29** (1987), 279-298.

[Del] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge III*, I.H.E.S. Publ. Math. **44** (1974), 5-77.

[Do1] S.K. Donaldson, *Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **50** (1985), 1–26.

[Do2] ———, *Polynomial invariants for smooth four-manifolds*, Topology **29** (1990), 257–315.

[E-G] G. Ellingsrud, L. Göttsche, *Variation of moduli spaces and Donaldson invariants under change of polarization*, J. reine angew. Math. **467** (1995), 1-49.

[F-S] R. Fintushel, R.J. Stern, *The blowup formula for Donaldson invariants*, Ann. of Math. **143** (1996), 529-546.

[Fri] R. Friedman, *Stable Vector Bundles over Algebraic Varieties* (to appear).

[F-M] R. Friedman, J. W. Morgan, *On the diffeomorphism types of certain algebraic surfaces II*, J. Differ. Geom. **27** (1988), 371-398.

[F-Q] ———, *Flips of moduli spaces and transition formulas for Donaldson polynomial invariants of rational surfaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **3** (1995), 11-83.

[Ful] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **131** (1993), Princeton University Press, Princeton.

[Got] L. Göttsche, *The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 193-207.

[Gro] A. Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique IV*, Sem. Bourbaki **221** (1960-1961), 13.

[Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.

[H-L] Y. Hu, W.-P. Li, *Variation of the Gieseker and Uhlenbeck compactifications*, International J. Math. **6** (1995), 397-418.

[Kly] A. A. Klyachko, *Moduli of vector bundles and numbers of classes*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. **25** (1991), 67-69.

[Lan] H. Lange, *Universal families of extensions*, Journal of Algebra **83** (1983), 101-112.

[LiJ] J. Li, *Algebraic geometric interpretation of Donaldson's polynomial invariants*, J. Differ. Geom. **37** (1993), 417–466.

[M-O] C. Montonen, D. Olive, *Magnetic monopoles as gauge particles*, Phys. Lett. **B72** (1977), 117.

[Muk] S. Mukai, *On the moduli spaces of bundles on K3 surfaces, I*, Vector bundles on algebraic varieties (1987), Oxford University Press, Oxford.

[Nak] T. Nakashima, *Moduli of stable rank-2 bundles with ample c_1 on $K3$ surfaces*, Archiv. der Math. **61** (1993), 100-104.

[O'G] K. O'Grady, *Donaldson's polynomials for $K3$-surfaces*, J. Differ. Geom. **35** (1992), 415-427.

[Qi1] Z. Qin, *Stable rank-2 sheaves on blownup surfaces*, Unpublished.

[Qi2] ———, *Equivalence classes of polarizations and moduli spaces of sheaves*, J. Differ. Geom. **37** (1993), 397-415.

[Qi3] ———, *Moduli of simple rank-2 sheaves on $K3$-surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **79** (1993), 253-265.

[Uhl] K. Uhlenbeck, *Removable singularity in Yang-Mills fields*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83** (1982), 11-29.

[V-W] C. Vafa, E. Witten, *A strong coupling test of S-duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **431** (1995), 3-77.

[Yam] J. Yamron, *Topological actions from twisted supersymmetric theories*, Phys. Lett. **B213** (1988), 325.

[Yos] K. Yoshioka, *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank* 2 *on* $\mathbb{P}^2$, J. reine angew. Math. **453** (1994), 193-220.

Department of Mathematics, HKUST, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong
E-mail address: `mawpli@uxmail.ust.hk`

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA
E-mail address: `zq@math.okstate.edu`